UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V. Israel Lopez-Rodriguez Defendant	Case No. 1:19-cr-00048-JTN
	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Findir	ngs of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 a federal offense a state or local offense that vertex existed – that is	3 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	th or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in:
·	*
a felony committed after the defendant had been countries. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but involve	es:
a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or d a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant ha	on that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another s not rebutted that presumption.
Alternative Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	s committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten years or m Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	ore is prescribed in:
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the second	hed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ne safety of the community.
Alternative Fi ✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	ndings (B)
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	·
Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the de evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest 2. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be relea 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the	detention at this time. sed in any case.
Part III – Directions Re	egarding Detention General or a designated representative for confinement in a awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: ____ March 1, 2019